arXiv:1606.07761v1 [math.AG] 24 Jun 2016

On $\mathcal{D}$-modules related to the b-function and Hamiltonian flow

Thomas Bitoun* and Travis Schedler†

June 27, 2016

Abstract

Let f be a quasi-homogeneous polynomial with an isolated singularity. We compute the length of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda$ generated by complex powers of f in terms of the Hodge filtration on the top cohomology of the Milnor fiber. When $\lambda = -1$ we obtain two more than the genus of the singularity, and we conjecture this holds without the quasi-homogeneous assumption. We also deduce that the quotient $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ is nonzero when λ is a root of the b-function of f (which Saito recently showed fails to hold the inhomogeneous case). We obtain these results by comparing these $\mathcal{D}$-modules to those defined by Etingof and the second author which represent invariants under Hamiltonian flow.

1 Introduction

1.1 Length of $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}$ and local cohomology

Let R be the ring of complex polynomials in $n \geq 3$ variables and $\mathcal{D}$ the ring of complex polynomial differential operators in n variables. For $f \in R$, we consider the left $\mathcal{D}$-modules R and $R[\frac{1}{f}]$.

In this paper we compute the length of the left $\mathcal{D}$-submodule of $R[\frac{1}{f}]$ generated by $\frac{1}{f}$ for f a quasi-homogeneous polynomial with an isolated singularity. We denote this module by $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}$. Actually it turns out to be more natural to work with the quotient $R[\frac{1}{f}]/R$, which is the first local cohomology group $H^1_f(R)$, and its left $\mathcal{D}$-submodule generated by the class of $\frac{1}{f}$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R$. As R is simple, its length is precisely one less.

Let us state our main result in the case of f an homogeneous polynomial with an isolated singularity, i.e., the zero locus of f is the cone over a smooth projective variety Y of dimension $n-2$. Its proof is contained in Section 2.

*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK; tbitoun@gmail.com
†Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London SW7 2AZ, UK; trasched@gmail.com

Theorem 1.1. The length of the left $\mathcal{D}$-submodule of $H^1_f(R)$ generated by the class of $\frac{1}{f}$ is $1 + \dim H^{n-2}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$.

Remark 1.2. It is well-known that the left $\mathcal{D}$-module $R[\frac{1}{f}]$ is generated by $\frac{1}{f}$ if and only if the Bernstein-Sato polynomial of f has no other integral root than -1. (This follows because if λ is the lowest integral root, then $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1} \neq 0$, see [Kas77, Proposition 6.2].) By Lemma 2.2 and Corollary A.2, in the case of the theorem, the length of $H^1_f(R)$ is $1+\dim H^{n-1}(X^\circ, \mathbf{C})$, where $X^\circ = \{f = 0\} \setminus \{0\}$ is the punctured cone over Y (and $H^{n-1}(X^\circ, \mathbf{C})$ identifies with the space of primitive cohomology classes of Y in degree $n-1$).

Example 1.3. When $n = 3$, Y is a smooth curve and $g = \dim H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is its genus. In this case, $\dim H^1(X^\circ, \mathbf{C}) = \dim H^1(Y, \mathbf{C}) = 2g$, so that the length of H^1_f is $1 + 2g$. On the other hand, by the theorem, the length of the submodule generated by $\frac{1}{f}$ is only $1 + g$. In particular, by the remark, the b-function has nontrivial integral roots unless $g = 0$ (i.e., $Y = \mathbb{CP}^1$). This shows that this submodule cannot be defined purely topologically (as it cuts out the holomorphic half of $H^1(Y, \mathbf{C})$), but reflects finer information (which in this case can be described in terms of Hodge theory: see Section 1.4).

Our theorem is a particular case of the following conjecture, for a general f with an isolated singularity. Let X be the zero locus of f and let $\rho : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a resolution of its singularity x. Let $\widetilde{Y} := \rho^{-1}(x)$ be the exceptional fiber. The genus g_x of the singularity is defined to be $\dim H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}})$. It is well-known to be independent of the resolution.

Conjecture 1.4. Let f be a complex polynomial with an isolated singularity x. The length of the left $\mathcal{D}$-submodule of $H^1_f(R)$ generated by $\frac{1}{f}$ is $1 + g_x$.

The remainder of this section presents several pieces of evidence for the conjecture:

1

We prove the conjecture in the case f is quasi-homogeneous, which is one of the main results of this article (Theorem 2.1). This generalizes Theorem 1.1.

2

There is the following analogous result in positive characteristic, which in fact motivated the project.

Suppose that f is a polynomial in n variables with rational coefficients and that f has an isolated singularity. Consider further a prime number p large enough so that the denominators of the coefficients of f are prime to p and the reduction modulo p of $\rho : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a well-defined resolution of the singularity $\rho_p : \widetilde{X}_p \to X_p$. The Frobenius endomorphism acts canonically on the reduction modulo p of the fiber over the singularity, denoted $\widetilde{Y}_p$. Set the p-genus of X_p to be the dimension g'_{X_p} of the Frobenius stabilization of $H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}_p})$. That is $g'_{X_p} := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\bigcap_{j\geq 0} F^j H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}_p}))$, where $F : H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}_p}) \to H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}_p})$ is the Frobenius endomorphism.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}_p$ the ring of Grothendieck differential operators on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_k^n$, where the field k is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let R_p be the ring of k-polynomials in n variables and let f_p be the reduction of f modulo p. We have the following result of the first author, which will appear elsewhere.

Theorem 1.5. Let f be a polynomial in n variables with rational coefficients. Suppose that f has an isolated singularity. Then for primes p large enough, the length of the left $\mathcal{D}_p$-module $H^1_{f_p}(R_p)$ is $1 + g'_{X_p}$.

Remark 1.6. In positive characteristic, the left $\mathcal{D}_p$-module $H^1_{f_p}(R_p)$ is generated by the class of $\frac{1}{f_p}$, see [AMBL05, Theorem 1.1]. So to ease the analogy, one may consider the theorem to bear upon the length of the left $\mathcal{D}_p$-submodule generated by the class of $\frac{1}{f_p}$.

Remark 1.7. For almost all primes p, if the Frobenius endomorphism acts bijectively on $H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}_p, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}_p})$, then $g'_{X_p} = g_X$. This is expected to hold for infinitely many primes ([MS11, Conjecture 1.1]).

3

Another piece of evidence for our conjecture comes from certain right $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules which control invariants under the Hamiltonian flow, see Subsection 1.3. We show that the corresponding left $\mathcal{D}$-modules surject to $D\frac{1}{f}$. As a consequence, we prove that the above conjecture is equivalent to [ES14, Conjecture 3.11]. In fact, this is how we prove the conjecture in the quasi-homogeneous case, since by [ES14, Proposition 3.15] the aforementioned conjecture holds in this case.

4

As a final piece of evidence for the conjecture, we can consider one of the simplest inhomogeneous examples (by which we always mean not quasi-homogeneous):

Example 1.8. Let X be one of the $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities in $\mathbf{A}^3$, namely $f = x^p + y^q + z^r + xyz$ where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} < 1$. By [Yan78, Example 4.13], the only integral root of the b-function in this case is -1. More generally, for any isolated singularity where this latter property holds, the $\mathcal{D}$-submodule of $H^1_f(R)$ generated by $\frac{1}{f}$ is all of $H^1_f(R)$, so the conjecture reduces to the same statement about $H^1_f(R)$, i.e., that in this case, the length of $H^1_f(R)$ is one more than $\dim H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}})$. For a general isolated singularity, this is equivalent to the statement $\dim H^{n-2}(V^\circ, \mathbf{C}) = \dim H^{n-2}(\widetilde{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}})$, where V is a contractible neighborhood of the singularity. In the case $n = 3$, the former has dimension $2g + b$ (by [Dim04, II.(3.4)]), where g is the sum of the genera of the components of $\widetilde{Y}$ and b is the first Betti number of the dual graph of $\widetilde{Y}$; the latter has dimension $g + b$. So the two are equal exactly when $g = 0$. In the case of $T_{p,q,r}$, all components of $\widetilde{Y}$ are rational [Dim04, II.(4.5)], so $g = 0$, and we conclude that the conjecture holds for the $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities.

Remark 1.9. We have excluded in this paper the case $n = 2$. In this case, it seems that all of the main results of the article continue to hold (with almost the same proofs), provided we use the reduced cohomology (of Y, X°, and $\widetilde{Y}$): for example, in the case $f = x_1$, then $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R = H^1_f(R) = \mathcal{O}(X)$ has length one, which equals $1 + \dim \overline{H^{n-2}}(Y, \mathbf{C}) = 1 + \dim \overline{H^{n-2}}(X^\circ, \mathbf{C})$. By the reduced cohomology $\overline{H^*}(\widetilde{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}})$, we mean the quotient by the constant functions $\mathbf{C} \subseteq H^0(\widetilde{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}})$. We omit further details, and for simplicity restrict to $n \geq 3$ in this article (but see Remark 1.20 for the definition of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules related to Hamiltonian flow in this case).

Remark 1.10. By localizing in an analytic neighborhood of isolated singularities, the conjecture (and its proof in the quasi-homogeneous case) can be extended from the case of $\mathbf{A}^n$ to arbitrary smooth varieties of dimension n with f a function (or section of a line bundle with flat connection) whose zero locus has isolated singularities. The same holds for our study of $\mathcal{D}$-modules generated by complex powers of λ in the next subsection. For simplicity, we stick with $\mathbf{A}^n$ throughout.

1.2 $\mathcal{D}$-modules related to the b-function

Next, we consider our problem for the variant of $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}$ where $\frac{1}{f}$ is replaced by f^λ for an arbitrary complex number λ. We are interested in the length of the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$.

Let us recall the definition. First of all, the variant of the $\mathcal{D}[\frac{1}{f}]$-module $R[\frac{1}{f}]$ is the $\mathcal{D}[\frac{1}{f}]$-module $R[\frac{1}{f}]f^\lambda$. That is the trivial line bundle on $\mathsf{Spec}(R[\frac{1}{f}])$ with generator f^λ, on which a derivation ∂ acts by $\partial \cdot f^\lambda = \lambda\partial(f)f^{-1}f^\lambda$. The left $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda$ is then defined to be the left $\mathcal{D}$-submodule of $R[\frac{1}{f}]f^\lambda$ generated by f^λ, while $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ is the left $\mathcal{D}$-submodule generated by $f.f^\lambda$.

This definition is reminiscent of that of the b-function of f. Namely the b-function is the minimal polynomial of the action of s on the left $\mathcal{D}[s]$-module $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$, where s is a variable commuting with $\mathcal{D}$. More precisely, we consider $\mathcal{D}[\frac{1}{f}][s]f^s$, the free $R[\frac{1}{f}][s]$-module of rank one with generator f^s, on which derivations ∂ in $\mathcal{D}$ act by $\partial \cdot f^s = s\partial(f)f^{-1}f^s$. The left $\mathcal{D}[s]$-modules $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s$ and $\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$ are the left $\mathcal{D}[s]$-submodules of $\mathcal{D}[\frac{1}{f}][s]f^s$ generated by f^s and $f.f^s$, respectively.

From the definitions, it is clear that there is a surjection

$$\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1} \to \mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1},$$

sending s to λ and f^s to f^λ. Thus the quotient $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ certainly vanishes if λ is not a root of the b-function of f. One may wonder about the converse, namely,

Question 1.11. Suppose that λ is a root of the b-function of f. Is the quotient $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ nontrivial?

In this paper, we compute the lengths of $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ when f is quasi-homogeneous with an isolated singularity. As a consequence we answer Question 1.11 affirmatively in this case.

Note however that Saito shows in [Sai15, Example 4.2] that for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and for $f = x^{14} + y^{14} - x^6y^6 + z^5 - \epsilon x^9y^2z$, $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ vanishes at $\lambda = -\frac{13}{30}$ even though $-\frac{13}{30}$ is a root of the b-function of f. This demonstrates that the answer to Question 1.11 is negative in general, even for an isolated singularity.

Suppose f is quasi-homogeneous with an isolated singularity. Our result is the following, which implies that Question 1.11 has an affirmative answer in this case. Its proof is contained in Section 5.

Theorem 1.12. If $\lambda \neq -1$, then the map

$$\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}|_{s=\lambda} := \mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[s]} \mathbf{C}[s]/(s-\lambda) \to \mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Since this map is obviously surjective, the theorem is equivalent to the statement that the length of $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ equals the length of $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}|_{s=\lambda}$. The length of the latter was computed in [Kas77, §6.4]. To express the answer we need some notation.

Give R a nonnegative grading by assigning x_i degree $m_i \geq 1$ for all i. Assume f is homogeneous with respect to this grading, and let $|f|$ be its degree. An f of this form for some m_i is called quasi-homogeneous. Assume also that f has an isolated singularity at the origin. Let $J := R/(\partial_1 f, \ldots, \partial_n f)$ denote the Jacobi ring, which has dimension equal to the Milnor number μ of the singularity. This is a graded ring since R is. Assume also that $\lambda \neq -1$.

Corollary 1.13. The length of $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ is $\dim J_{-|f|\lambda-\sum_i m_i}$.

Remark 1.14. Note actually that, in this case, s acts semisimply on $(s+1)\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$, so under our assumption $\lambda \neq -1$, the restriction to $s = \lambda$ is the same as the generalized eigenspace under s of eigenvalue λ.

Note that, as is clear from Corollary 1.13 (see also Theorem 1.22 below), the lengths of $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ contain more than purely topological information, which in the quasi-homogeneous case can be viewed as Hodge theoretical.

It would be nice to have a conjecture for the length of $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ in the case f is inhomogeneous, for at least some roots λ of the b-function.

1.3 $\mathcal{D}$-modules related to Hamiltonian flow

Our main technique of proof is to compare the various $\mathcal{D}$-modules above with certain $\mathcal{D}$-modules attached to the Hamiltonian flow. These are naturally defined as right $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, where X is the zero locus of f.

Let us first say a few words about right $\mathcal{D}$-modules on singular varieties. Recall, following Kashiwara, that for a singular variety X, the category of right $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules is defined to be the category of right $\mathcal{D}_Y$-modules supported on X, for any closed embedding $X \to Y$ into a smooth variety Y. Recall also that the global sections $\Gamma(X, N)$ of a right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module N are

the sections of N (seen as a right $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module) scheme-theoretically supported on X. These are independent of the choice of smooth ambient variety Y.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and suppose that X is a singular affine variety equipped with an action $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to T_X$. The $\mathcal{D}_X$-module we are interested in is the right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $M(X, \theta)$ representing the functor of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant global sections. Namely, for any right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module N, we have a functorial isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M(X, \theta), N) \cong \Gamma(X, N)^{\mathfrak{g}}$. It can be described as follows. Let D_X be the standard right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module, which in terms of any embedding $X \to Y$ into a smooth affine variety Y corresponds to the right $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module $I_X\mathcal{D}_Y \setminus \mathcal{D}_Y$, where I_X is the ideal of the closed embedding $X \to Y$. This admits an action of vector fields on X (using left multiplication in $\mathcal{D}_Y$), and hence by $\mathfrak{g}$. The right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $M(X, \theta)$ representing the invariants under the Hamiltonian flow is then $\mathfrak{g} \cdot D_X \setminus D_X$.

We now specialize to the case that $X = \{f = 0\} \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n = Y$. Let us recall a construction from [ES12, §3.4] of Hamiltonian vector fields on X. To every $(n-3)$-form α on $\mathbf{A}^n$, we associate the vector field $\xi_\alpha := (\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_n)(d\alpha \wedge df)$. It is parallel to X and therefore defines a vector field on it, which we call $\xi_\alpha|_X$. We let $\widetilde{\theta}$ and θ be the induced maps, $\widetilde{\theta}(\alpha) = \xi_\alpha$ and $\theta(\alpha) = \xi_\alpha|_X$. We can define a Lie bracket on $\Omega^{n-3}(\mathbf{A}^n)$ by $\{\alpha, \beta\} = L_{\xi_\alpha}(\beta) = -L_{\xi_\beta}(\alpha)$, with L_ξ the Lie derivative, which makes θ and $\widetilde{\theta}$ Lie homomorphisms. We then form the right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $M(X, \theta)$. Take the corresponding right $\mathcal{D}$-module. Applying the standard equivalence between right and left $\mathcal{D}$-modules, let $M(f)$ denote the resulting left $\mathcal{D}$-module. It then follows from the definitions that

$$M(f) = \mathcal{D}/(\mathcal{D}f + \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\theta}(\Omega^{n-3}(\mathbf{A}^n))). \tag{1.15}$$

This $\mathcal{D}$-module represents the functor of Hamiltonian-invariant sections supported on X:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^n}}(M(f), N) = \Gamma_X(\mathbf{A}^n, N)^{\Omega^{n-3}(\mathbf{A}^n)}, \tag{1.16}$$

where N is any $\mathcal{D}$-module and $\Gamma_X(\mathbf{A}^n, N) = \{n \in N \mid fn = 0\}$ are the elements of N which are scheme-theoretically supported on X.

The next result compares $M(f)$ to the $\mathcal{D}$-module defining the b-function of f. The proof is contained in Section 4.

Theorem 1.17. (i) The assignment $\gamma : 1 \mapsto f^s$ defines a morphism of left $\mathcal{D}$-modules:

$$\gamma : M(f) \to \mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}. \tag{1.18}$$

(ii) The morphism γ is an isomorphism away from the singular locus of X. It is surjective when f is quasi-homogeneous.

(iii) If f is quasi-homogeneous with isolated singularities, then the morphism γ is an isomorphism.

The proof of Theorem 1.17 occupies Section 4.

When f is quasi-homogeneous with an isolated singularity, Theorem 1.17 allows us to use the fine knowledge of the structure of $M(f)$ from [ES14] to study $\mathcal{D}^{\frac{1}{f}}/R$, by way of

the canonical surjection $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1} \to \mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R$. However, in Section 2, where we prove Conjecture 1.4 in the quasi-homogeneous case, we will only directly use this surjection and will not use the full strength of Theorem 1.17.

Remark 1.19. It is interesting to ask when the canonical morphism remains surjective or injective in the inhomogeneous case. One simple observation is the following: suppose λ is a root of the b-function such that $\lambda - m$ is not a root for any $m \geq 1$. In this case, it is well-known that the quotient $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ is nonzero ([Kas77, Proposition 6.2]). Similarly, one can prove that the canonical map $M(f) \to \mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$ contains the entire generalized eigenspace $(\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1})_{(\lambda)} := \{m \in \mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1} \mid (s-\lambda)^N m = 0, N \gg 0\}$ under s of eigenvalue λ.

Remark 1.20. To treat the case $n = 2$, one needs to define $\mathfrak{g}$ and θ differently: one sets $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{C}$ and $\theta(1) = \Xi := (\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2)(df)|_X \in T_X$. Then one obtains $M(f) := \mathcal{D}/(\mathcal{D}f + \mathcal{D}\Xi)$. With this definition it appears that the theorem continues to hold.

Remark 1.21. The definition of $M(f)$ can be extended to the case when $\mathbf{A}^n$ is replaced by a Calabi-Yau variety, i.e., a smooth variety with a nonvanishing algebraic volume form. Then $\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_n$ is replaced by the inverse of the form. The theorem continues to hold.

1.4 Relation to work of M. Saito

After independently obtaining our results, we were informed by M. Saito of similar results in [Sai15] obtained by different methods. In particular, he describes $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ in terms of the V-filtration on $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s$. Combining [Sai15, Corollary 1] with Corollary 1.13 above, we recover the following result, which is essentially [Ste77, Theorem 1] (summing the statement below over all β yields [Ste77, Theorem 1] except without the statement about the weight filtration). Let $F_{f,0}$ be the Milnor fiber of f around 0. The Milnor cohomology $H^{n-1}(F_{f,0}, \mathbf{C})$ has a natural monodromy action of $\pi_1(\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$, and we let $H^{n-1}(F_{f,0}, \mathbf{C})_{(\lambda)}$ denote the generalized eigenspace of eigenvalue λ with respect to the standard generator. Let G be the filtration from [Sai15, (6)] on this cohomology, which as pointed out there coincides with the Hodge filtration (up to a shift).

Theorem 1.22. Let $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\lambda := -j - \beta$. If $\lambda \neq -1$, then the vector space $\mathrm{gr}_G^j H^{n-1}(F_{f,0}, \mathbf{C})_{(\exp(-2\pi i\beta))}$ is nonzero if and only if λ is a root of the b-function of f. Moreover, for all λ, we have

$$\mathrm{gr}_G^j H^{n-1}(F_{f,0}, \mathbf{C})_{(\exp(-2\pi i\beta))} \cong J_{-|f|\lambda - \sum_i m_i}. \tag{1.23}$$

In other words, the Jacobi ring is the associated graded vector space of the Milnor cohomology with respect to the Hodge filtration (up to shifting degrees and taking into account the monodromy eigenvalues).

One can in fact show that any two of the results: (1) Corollary 1.13, (2) Steenbrink's Theorem 1.22, and (3) Saito's [Sai15, Corollary 1], imply the third.

1.5 Outline of paper and proofs

In Section 2 we prove Conjecture 1.4 in the quasi-homogeneous case. To do so we actually prove that the conjecture is equivalent to [ES14, Conjecture 3.11], which is proved there in the quasi-homogeneous case. The result follows by showing that $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $M(f)$ by the maximal submodule supported at the origin (in Step 3 of the proof).

In Section 3 we prove some results about the nearby cycles functor that may be of independent interest. Namely, for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, we consider the filtered $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty} := \bigcup_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+j}$, whose subquotients are $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+j}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+j+1}$. We prove that $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+\infty}$ is the cokernel of the operator $T - e^{2\pi i\lambda}$ on the nearby cycles of $\mathcal{O}_U = R[\frac{1}{f}]$ along $X = \{f = 0\}$, where T is the monodromy operator and $U = \{f \neq 0\}$. Therefore the $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+j}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+j+1}$ are naturally realized as the associated graded $\mathcal{D}$-modules of a filtration on a quotient of the nearby cycles of $\mathcal{O}_U$. We suspect that this filtration may be related to the filtration G defined in [Sai15], which was described in terms of the V-filtration. We then use the case $\lambda = -1$ of this to compute the length of the nearby cycles in the case when f has only isolated singularities.

In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.17. The surjectivity of the map in the quasi-homogeneous case follows by application of (a multiple of) the Euler vector field, which takes f to itself. This reduces injectivity to checking that the lengths of the source and target are equal. For the source we appeal to results of [ES14]. For the target, we recall by [Gin86, Proposition 5.6] that it has the same length as that of the nearby cycles of $\mathcal{O}_U$. This reduces the computation of its length to the material of the previous section.

Finally, in Section 5 we prove Theorem 5. To do this we use the map $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1} \to \bigoplus_\lambda \mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ obtained by the sum of restrictions. We explicitly compute its kernel, which lies entirely in the generalized eigenspace under s of eigenvalue -1. In particular, the restriction to $\lambda \neq -1$ yields an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}|_\lambda \to \mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$.

It should now be clear that we use results from [ES12, ES14]. The only results we require whose proofs we do not provide are [ES14, Theorem 2.15] (recalled in Theorem 4.1 below) and the fact that [ES14, Conjecture 3.11] (see Conjecture 2.4 below) holds in the quasi-homogeneous case ([ES14, Proposition 3.15]). These results bear entirely on the fine structure of $M(f)$, namely on counting multiplicities of δ-function $\mathcal{D}$-modules appearing as submodules or quotients. The results of Section 3 are completely independent, and do not use the quasi-homogeneous assumption.

In the appendix, we recall some probably standard results on maximal indecomposable extensions, maximal quotients and maximal submodules that we use but for which we do not know of a good reference.

1.6 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Pavel Etingof for initially suggesting a relationship between $M(X, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/\mathcal{D}$. We would like to thank Uli Walther for useful discussions, particularly for suggesting that Question 1.11 should have an affirmative answer in the quasi-homogeneous isolated

singularity case, and Johannes Nicaise for pointing out the semisimplicity of monodromy and the reference [Dim04], as well as for useful discussions. The second author was partly supported by US National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1406553.

2 Proof of Conjecture 1.4 in the quasi-homogeneous case

The goal of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 2.1. Conjecture 1.4 holds when X is conical, i.e., f is quasi-homogeneous. Namely, in this case, the length of $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R$ is $1+g$, with g the genus of the singularity at the origin.

In fact we will prove a stronger result (Theorem 2.6), which implies the equivalence of Conjecture 1.4 with [ES14, Conjecture 3.11], and as the latter was proved in the quasi-homogeneous case, this implies our theorem.

Step 1. First we need to prove a basic result about $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R$ itself, namely that it has no submodules supported on the singular locus of X. We actually prove a stronger result (which will be useful later). Let X° be the smooth locus and $j^X : X^\circ \to X$ the open inclusion. We continue to use $i : X \to \mathbf{A}^n$ to denote the closed inclusion. Let $U := \{f \neq 0\}$ be the complement of X and $j : U \to \mathbf{A}^n$ be the open inclusion.

Lemma 2.2. $H^1_f(R)$ has no submodules supported at the origin. Moreover, we have an isomorphism $H^1_f(R) \cong (i \circ j^X)_* \mathcal{O}_{X^\circ}$.

Proof. The long exact sequence for $0 \to R \to R[\frac{1}{f}] \to H^1_f(R) \to 0$ yields:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\delta, R) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\delta, R[\frac{1}{f}]) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\delta, H^1_f(R)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\delta, R) \to \cdots$$

Since $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\delta, R[\frac{1}{f}]) = \mathrm{Ext}^i(j^*\delta, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$ by adjunction (for all i), it follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\delta, H^1_f(R)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(\delta, R)$ for all i. Therefore $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\delta, H^1_f(R))$ is zero for $i \neq n-1$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^{n-1}(\delta, H^1_f(R)) \cong \mathbf{C}$. Taking $i = 2$, this proves the first statement.

For the second statement, note that, since $n \geq 3$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}(\delta, H^1_f(R)) = 0$. Notice that $H^1_f(R) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{A}^n}$ is supported on X and restricts on X° to Ω_{X°. It now follows from parts (c) and (b) of Proposition A.1 that the restriction of $H^1_f(R) \otimes_R \Omega_{\mathbf{A}^n}$ to X is $i_*(H^0 j^X_* \Omega_{X^\circ}) = H^0(i \circ j^X)_* \Omega_{X^\circ}$. Translating back to left $\mathcal{D}$-modules, we recover the statement. □

Step 2. We relate $\mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R$ to the $\mathcal{D}$-module $M(f)$ defined in Section 1.3 (this is a consequence of Theorem 1.17, but we verify it independently for the reader's convenience). Recall the notation from Section 1.3: $\widetilde{\theta} : \Omega^{n-3}(\mathbf{A}^n) \to T_X$ is the action $\widetilde{\theta}(\alpha) = \xi_\alpha := (\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_n)(d\alpha \wedge df)$. Then $M(f) = \mathcal{D}/(\mathcal{D}f + \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\theta}(\Omega^{n-3}(\mathbf{A}^n)))$. We claim that there is a unique morphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules,

$$M(f) \to \mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R, \quad 1 \mapsto \frac{1}{f},$$

which is surjective. Since the formula sends a generator to a generator, if it extends to a morphism, it extends uniquely, and is surjective. We only need to verify that it extends to a morphism.

Begin with the defining surjection $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}$. This descends to a surjection $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}f \to \mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}/R$. For every Hamiltonian vector field ξ_α, viewed as a vector field on $\mathbf{A}^n$, we have $\xi_\alpha(f) = 0$, therefore ξ_α is in the kernel. Thus we obtain a map $\mathcal{D}/(\mathcal{D}f + \mathcal{D}\theta(\Omega_X^{n-3})) \to \mathcal{D}\frac{1}{f}$, as desired, which is surjective.

Step 3. Now assume f has only isolated singularities. We need to recall the structure of $M(X,\theta)$ from [ES12, ES14]. By [ES12, §5.2] (see also [ES14, Theorem 2.15]), we know the following, whose proof we provide for the convenience of the reader as it is short:

Theorem 2.3. (i) $M(X,\theta)$ is holonomic.

(ii) $M(X,\theta)$ is the direct sum of delta function $\mathcal{D}$-modules supported on the singular locus and a single indecomposable summand $M(X,\theta)_{\mathrm{ind}}$ supported on all of X.

(iii) $M(f)_{\mathrm{ind}}$ has only one composition factor, $\mathrm{IC}(X)$, supported on all of X, with all other factors supported on the singular locus.

Here, $\mathrm{IC}(X) := j_{!*}^X \Omega_{X^\circ}$ is the minimal extension of the canonical right $\mathcal{D}_{X^\circ}$-module Ω_{X° to a right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module.

Proof. Part (i) follows immediately from the following statement: $M(X,\theta)|_{X^\circ}$ is a rank-one de Rham local system (i.e., an $\mathcal{O}_{X^\circ}$-coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X^\circ}$-module). It suffices to check this in a formal neighborhood of a point $x \in X^\circ$. There the statement reduces to the case $f = x_1$, the first coordinate, where it is immediate. As a consequence, $M(X,\theta)|_{X^\circ}$ is irreducible, so there must be exactly one indecomposable summand of $M(X,\theta)$ supported on $\overline{X^\circ} = X$. This implies (ii). Finally, for part (iii), note again that, since $M(X,\theta)|_{X^\circ}$ is irreducible, $M(X,\theta)$ must have exactly one composition factor supported on all of X. To conclude, we must show that this composition factor is $\mathrm{IC}(X)$. Equivalently, we must show that $M(X,\theta)|_{X^\circ} \cong \Omega_{X^\circ}$, i.e., the local system is trivial. To see this, first observe that all Hamiltonian vector fields ξ_α for $\alpha \in \Omega^{n-3}(\mathbf{A}^n)$, by definition, preserve the standard volume form $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ on $\mathbf{A}^n$. Similarly, their restrictions $\xi_\alpha|_{X^\circ}$ preserve the induced volume form $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n/df$ on X° (equivalently, they preserve its inverse polyvector field, $(\partial_1 \wedge \cdots \partial_n)(df)$). It then follows from the definition of $M(X,\theta)$ that there is a surjection $M(X,\theta)|_{X^\circ} \to \Omega_{X^\circ}$. Since we already showed that the source is irreducible, this must be an isomorphism. □

Let $K \subseteq M(X,\theta)_{\mathrm{ind}}$ be the maximal submodule supported at the singular locus. Then $N := M(X,\theta)_{\mathrm{ind}}/K$ has no submodules supported on the singular locus, and by (iii) there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{IC}(X) \to N \to K' \to 0,$$

for K' supported on the singular locus.

By Step 2 we have a surjection $q : M(f) = i_* M(X,\theta) \otimes_R \Omega_{\mathbf{A}^n} \to \mathcal{D}f^{-1}/R \subseteq H_f^1(R)$, and by Step 1 there are no delta function submodules of $H_f^1(R)$. Since $H_f^1(R)$ is not supported on

the singular locus, the kernel of q must be the maximal submodule of $M(f)$ supported at the origin (which is the singular locus of X). Therefore the restriction of q to $i_*M(X,\theta)_{\mathrm{ind}} \otimes_R \Omega_{\mathbf{A}^n}$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{q} : N \otimes_R \Omega_{\mathbf{A}^n} \to \mathcal{D}f^{-1}/R$. As a result, the length of $\mathcal{D}f^{-1}/R$ is one more than the length of K'.

Step 4. We now recall the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2.4. [ES14, Conjecture 3.11] The length of K' is equal to the sum of the genera of the singularities of X.

Remark 2.5. In [ES14], instead of saying the sum of the genera, a different expression is given for the length in terms of top-degree differential forms on a neighborhood of the singularities with logarithmic poles. There is an incorrect expression (the sum of the genera of the components of the exceptional fibers) erroneously stated to be equivalent in [ES14, Proposition 3.13], and we discovered the error in that proposition from this work. In the above statement of the conjecture, we have corrected the error.

We conclude from Step 3:

Theorem 2.6. Conjecture 1.4 is equivalent to [ES14, Conjecture 3.11].

Note that, while Conjecture 1.4 is stated (for simplicity) only in the case where X has a single singularity (rather than multiple), this is equivalent to the general case by reducing to a neighborhood of each singularity.

Finally, by [ES14, Proposition 3.15], [ES14, Conjecture 3.11] is true in the quasi-homogeneous case. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 The nearby cycles and the b-function

In this section, which may be of independent interest, we study the nearby cycles functor, its relationship to the quotients $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$, and its length. In particular, for the former, we consider a filtration on $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}$ which seems to be closely related to the one used by Saito. We continue to use the same notation as before.

To every $\mathcal{D}_U = \mathcal{D}[\frac{1}{f}]$-module M we associate the nearby cycles $\mathcal{D}$-module along X, denoted by $\Psi_f(M)$. This is a $\mathcal{D}$-module supported on X and is equipped with a monodromy operator, which we denote by T.

We remark that everything in this section extends to the case when $\mathbf{A}^n$ is replaced by an arbitrary smooth variety and f a regular function on it, but we stick to $\mathbf{A}^n$ for consistency.

3.1 The filtration on $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}$ and nearby cycles

In this subsection, it is not necessary to assume that f has isolated singularities. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. Then, for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+j}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+j+1}$ naturally appear together as the

subquotients of a filtered $\mathcal{D}$-module. Namely, define

$$\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty} := \sum_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+k} \text{ and} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+\infty} := \bigcap_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+k}. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}$ is nothing but $R[\frac{1}{f}]f^\lambda$ viewed as a $\mathcal{D}$-module. It follows from the existence of the (nonzero) b-function that $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty} = \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-N}$ and $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+\infty} = \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+N}$ for N a sufficiently large positive integer. Then $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}$ is filtered with

$$F^i\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty} = \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+i}.$$

(Note that the filtration depends on λ and not just on $\lambda+\mathbf{Z}$, even though the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}$ does not.) It is clear that the associated graded $\mathcal{D}$-module is

$$\operatorname{gr}^F \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty} = \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+\infty} \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+i}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+i+1}.$$

Next, the quotient $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+\infty}$ has a natural interpretation in terms of nearby cycles. To make the geometry clearer, we use the notation $\mathcal{D}_U = \mathcal{D}[\frac{1}{f}]$ and $\mathcal{O}_U = R[\frac{1}{f}]$ for the ring of differential operators on U and its module of polynomial functions on U. Let $\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda$ denote $R[\frac{1}{f}]f^\lambda$ viewed as a $\mathcal{D}_U$-module (which is a line bundle on U). Then the standard pushforward $j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda$ just recovers $R[\frac{1}{f}]f^\lambda$ viewed as a $\mathcal{D}$-module. This has a submodule, $j_{!*}\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda$, the intermediate extension of $\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda$ to $\mathbf{A}^n$, which is an irreducible holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module.

Proposition 3.3. $\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+\infty} \cong j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda/j_{!*}\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda \cong \operatorname{coker}(T - e^{2\pi i\lambda})|_{\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)}$.

Thus, the $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ are the associated graded $\mathcal{D}$-modules of a quotient of the nearby cycles of $\mathcal{O}_U$ along X.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. For the first isomorphism, we already explained that $j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda = \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda-\infty}$. By [Gin86, lemma 3.8.2], $j_{!*}\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda \cong \mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+\infty}$.

For the second isomorphism, by the proof of the key lemma in [Beĭ87], for every constructible complex $\mathcal{F}$, there is a distinguished triangle

$$i^*j_*\mathcal{F} \to \Psi_f^{un}(\mathcal{F})[1] \overset{T-\mathrm{Id}}{\to} \Psi_f^{un}(\mathcal{F})[1],$$

where *un* is the unipotent part (which can be suppressed since $T - \mathrm{Id}$ is an isomorphism on every generalized eigenspace of eigenvalue not equal to one).

Thus, passing to regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and setting $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{-1}i^*j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda \to \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda) \overset{T-Id}{\to} \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda) \to H^0i^*j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda \to 0.$$

Since $(\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda), T)$ is isomorphic to $(\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U), e^{-2\pi i\lambda}T)$, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{-1}i_*i^*j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda \to \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U) \overset{T-e^{2\pi i\lambda}}{\to} \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U) \to H^0 i_*i^*j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda \to 0.$$

Combining this with the exact sequence

$$0 \to j_{!*}M \to j_*M \to H^0 i_*i^*j_*M \to 0$$

applied to $M = \mathcal{O}_U^\lambda$, we obtain that

$$j_*\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda / j_{!*}\mathcal{O}_U^\lambda \cong \operatorname{coker}(T - e^{2\pi i\lambda})|_{\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)}. \quad \square$$

3.2 The length of the nearby cycles

We assume now that X has only isolated singularities. Let $X^{\text{sing}} \subseteq X$ be the singular locus and $X^\circ := X \setminus X^{\text{sing}}$. Let us work with right $\mathcal{D}$-modules on the possibly singular variety X. We replace $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)$ by the right $\mathcal{D}$-module on X formally defined as $i^!(\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{A}^n}) = i^!\Psi_f(\Omega_{\mathbf{A}^n})$, which we denote as $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X$.

By the preceding subsection, $H^1_f(R[\frac{1}{f}]) \cong \operatorname{coker}(T - \mathrm{Id})|_{\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)}$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $H^1_f(R[\frac{1}{f}])$, we obtain that $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X$ is a (not necessarily indecomposable) extension of the form

$$0 \to K \to \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X \to H^0 j^X_* \Omega_{X^\circ} \to 0,$$

where K is supported on the singular locus of X. Since $\mathcal{O}_U$ and K are Verdier self-dual and nearby cycles commute with Verdier duality (see [Beĭ87]), we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0 j^X_! \Omega_{X^\circ} \to \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X \to K \to 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $H^0 j^X_! \Omega_{X^\circ}$ has no quotient supported at the singular locus, it follows that the map $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X \to K$ is the maximal quotient of $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X$ supported on the singular locus of X.

Now let $x \in X$ be a singular point and $i_x : x \to X$ the inclusion. Then $H^0 i_x^* \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)$ is well-known to be isomorphic to the top cohomology of the Milnor fiber of x, and has dimension μ_x, the Milnor number of the singularity of X at x. By Proposition A.5, $(i_x)_* H^0 i_x^* \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X$ is the maximal quotient of $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X$ supported at x. Hence $K \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X^{\text{sing}}} (i_x)_* H^0 i_x^* \Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)_X$. So $\operatorname{length}(K) = \sum_{x \in X^{\text{sing}}} \mu_x$.

Next, let V_x be a small contractible neighborhood of x, $V_x^\circ := V_x \setminus \{x\}$, and $j^V : V_x^\circ \to V_x$ the inclusion. By Corollary A.4, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \delta^{\dim H^{n-2}(V_x^\circ)} \to H^0 j^V_! \Omega_{V_x^\circ} \to \mathrm{IC}(V) \to 0. \tag{3.5}$$

By adjunction from $\Omega_{X^\circ} \cong (j^X)^* \mathrm{IC}(X)$, there is a canonical surjection $H^0 j^X_! \Omega_{X^\circ} \to \mathrm{IC}(X)$. This restricts in each V to the second nontrivial map in (3.5). Hence, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to K' \to H^0 j^X_! \Omega_{X^{\text{sing}}} \to \mathrm{IC}(X) \to 0,$$

with $K' \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X^{\text{sing}}} \delta_x^{\dim H^{n-2}(V_x^\circ)}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{length}(H^0 j^X_! \Omega_{X^\circ}) = 1 + \sum_{x \in X^{\text{sing}}} \dim H^{n-2}(V_x^\circ)$. By (3.4), we have $\operatorname{length}(\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)) = \operatorname{length}(K) + \operatorname{length}(H^0 j^X_! \Omega_{X^\circ})$. Putting everything together, we obtain:

Proposition 3.6. $\operatorname{length}(\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)) = 1 + \sum_{x \in X^{\text{sing}}} (\mu_x + \dim H^{n-2}(V_x^\circ))$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.17

Part (i) follows from exactly the same argument as in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in Section 2. Namely, the map $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$ sending 1 to f^s clearly sends f to zero. For any Hamiltonian vector field ξ_α with $\alpha \in \Omega^{n-3}(\mathbf{A}^n)$, we have $\xi_\alpha(f) = 0$, and hence $\xi_\alpha(f^s) = 0$ as well. Therefore ξ_α is also sent to zero. The map therefore descends to a morphism $\gamma : M(f) \to \mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$.

For part (ii), again let X^{sing} be the singular locus of X, and let $X^\circ = X \setminus X^{\mathrm{sing}}$ and $(\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ := \mathbf{A}^n \setminus X^{\mathrm{sing}}$. We have to show that $\gamma|_{(\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ}$ is an isomorphism. As explained in the proof of Theorem 2.3, the restriction of $M(X, \theta)$ to X° is a rank-one de Rham local system. Hence, the restriction of $M(f)$ to $(\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ$ is the pushforward of a de Rham local system under the closed embedding $X^\circ \to (\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{D}f^s/\mathcal{D}f^{s+1}$ also has this property. Since rank-one de Rham local systems on X° are irreducible, to show that the restriction $\gamma|_{(\mathbf{A}^n)^\circ}$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to consider question in the formal neighborhood of a smooth point of X°, where it is immediate.

Next, assume f is quasi-homogeneous. Let Eu be the Euler vector field (i.e., the derivation which sends g to $|g|g$ for all quasi-homogeneous g, where $|g|$ is the weighted degree of g). Since $|f|$ is positive and in particular nonzero, it follows that $|f|^{-1}\,\mathrm{Eu}(f^s) = sf^s$, and hence $|f|^{-m}\,\mathrm{Eu}^m(f^s) = s^m f^s$ for all $m \geq 1$. These elements are therefore all in the image of γ. Since they clearly generate $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$ as a $\mathcal{D}$-module, it follows that γ is surjective.

Finally, we prove part (iii). Since we already established surjectivity, it suffices to show that both the source and target have the same length. For the source, the length of $M(f)$, by definition, equals the length of the right $\mathcal{D}$-module $M(X, \theta)$. For this $\mathcal{D}$-module, we need to recall the following result on its structure:

Theorem 4.1. [ES14, Theorem 2.15] There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0 j_!^X \Omega_{X^\circ} \to M(X, \theta) \to \delta^\mu \to 0. \tag{4.2}$$

By Corollary A.4, the length of $H^0 j_!^X \Omega_{X^\circ}$ is one more than the dimension of $H^{n-2}(X^\circ)$. Put together, we conclude:

$$\mathrm{length}(M(f)) = 1 + \mu + \dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ). \tag{4.3}$$

We now compute the length of the target, $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$. For this we compare the latter with the nearby cycles $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)$ of R, which is a $\mathcal{D}$-module supported on X. By [Gin86, Proposition 5.6], in fact, $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O}_U)$ and $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$ have the same class in the Grothendieck group of holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Therefore they have the same length.

Finally, in the case at hand, X is quasi-homogeneous (hence conical) with an isolated singularity at the origin. Therefore Proposition 3.6 implies that $\mathrm{length}(\Psi_f(R[\frac{1}{f}])) = 1 + \mu + \dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)$. This completes the proof.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.12 and the quotients $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$

Let $i : \{0\} \to \mathbf{A}^n$ be the inclusion of the origin, and let $\delta = i^*\mathbf{C}$ denote the delta-function $\mathcal{D}$-module of the origin.

Definition 5.1. Given a $\mathcal{D}$-module M, let $\mathrm{db}(M)$ (short for "deltas on bottom") be the sum of all submodules isomorphic to δ which are not direct summands.

Remark 5.2. We can give some alternative definitions of M. There is a canonical maximal quotient $M \to K$ supported at the origin and maximal submodule $L \to M$ supported at the origin; then $\mathrm{db}(M) = \ker(L \to K)$. If M is holonomic, this says (by Section A.2) that $\mathrm{db}(M) = i_* \ker(H^0 i^! M \to H^0 i^* M)$. In the holonomic (or more generally finite-length) case, we can also define $\mathrm{db}(M)$ as the sum of all delta submodules of the indecomposable summands of M not isomorphic to δ.

Remark 5.3. Set $N := M/\mathrm{db}(M)$. Then M is a nontrivial extension $0 \to \mathrm{db}(M) \to M \to N \to 0$, $\mathrm{db}(N) = 0$, and every submodule of N supported at the origin is a direct summand. If M is furthermore holonomic, then for $j : \mathbf{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbf{A}^n$ the open inclusion, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to j_{!*} j^* N \to N \to K \to 0,$$

with K supported at the origin.

For every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, consider the map

$$\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}|_{s=\lambda} := \mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[s]} \mathbf{C}[s]/(s-\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}. \tag{5.4}$$

Assume that f is quasi-homogeneous and $X = Z(f)$ has an isolated singularity at the origin. Then, as explained in Section 1.2, Theorem 1.12 follows from the following assertion. Let μ and g denote the Milnor number and genus of the singularity at the origin, respectively. Recall the definition of the Jacobi ring $J = R/(\partial_1 f, \ldots, \partial_n f)$, so that $\dim J = \mu$ and $g = \dim J_{|f|-\sum_i m_i}$, with $m_i = |x_i|$.

Theorem 5.5. The map (5.4) is an isomorphism unless $\lambda = -1$, in which case the kernel is the submodule

$$\mathrm{db}(\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}|_{s=-1}) \cong \delta^{\dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)-g}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. First, by [Kas77, §6.3], s acts semisimply on $(s+1)\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$, and the length of $(s+1)\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}|_{s=\lambda}$ is $\dim J_{-\lambda|f|-\sum_i m_i}$. In particular, the length of $(s+1)\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}|_{s=-1}$ is g. Therefore, (5.6) is equivalent to the following statement, which we will prove:

The kernel of

$$\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1} \to \bigoplus_\lambda \mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1} \tag{5.7}$$

is $\mathrm{db}(\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}) \cong \delta^{\dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)}$.

We first prove the isomorphism $\mathrm{db}(\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}) \cong \delta^{\dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)}$. By Theorem 1.17.(iii), it suffices to show that $\mathrm{db}(M(X,\theta)) \cong \delta^{\dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)}$. This follows from (4.2) and Corollary A.4 (cf. [ES14, 2.17]).

Next, we claim that $\mathrm{db}(\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}) = 0$ for all λ. If $\lambda \neq -1$, then $\mathcal{D}f^\lambda/\mathcal{D}f^{\lambda+1}$ is supported at the origin, hence a sum of copies of δ, so the statement is clear. If $\lambda = -1$, this follows from Lemma 2.2. Therefore, the kernel of (5.7) must contain $\mathrm{db}(\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1})$.

To conclude, it suffices to show that the length of the kernel of (5.7) is at most $\dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)$. By Proposition 3.3 and the preceding discussion, the RHS of (5.7) is an associated graded module with respect to a filtration on

$$\bigoplus_{c=e^{2\pi i\lambda}\in S^1} \mathrm{coker}(T-c)|_{\Psi_f(\mathcal{O})}. \tag{5.8}$$

If we pass to the stalk of $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O})$ at zero, $H^0 i^*\Psi_f(\mathcal{O})$, i.e., the reduced top Milnor cohomology of together with its monodromy, we know that T acts semisimply by [Dim04, Chapter 3, Example 1.19]. It has dimension equal to the Milnor number, μ, of the singularity at the origin.

By Proposition A.5, $H^0 i_* i^*\Psi_f(\mathcal{O})$ is the maximal quotient of $\Psi_f(\mathcal{O})$ supported at the origin. Since T acts semisimply, we conclude that $H^0 i_* i^*\Psi_f(\mathcal{O})$ is also the maximal quotient of (5.8) supported at the origin. In particular, the length of (5.8) is at least equal to μ. Since (5.8) is not supported at the origin (as $\mathcal{D}f^{-1}/R$ is not), whereas $H^0 i_* i^*\Psi_f(\mathcal{O})$ is so supported, the length of (5.8) must in fact be at least $1+\mu$. Hence the RHS of (5.7) must have length at least $1+\mu$.

On the other hand, as explained in at the end of the previous subsection, Proposition 3.6 and [Gin86, Proposition 5.6] imply that the length of $\mathcal{D}[s]f^s/\mathcal{D}[s]f^{s+1}$ equals $1+\mu+\dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)$. Therefore the length of the kernel of (5.7) has length at most $\dim H^{n-2}(X^\circ)$. This completes the proof. □

A Maximal extensions, quotients, and submodules

In this appendix we recall some known results on maximal indecomposable extensions, maximal quotients, and maximal submodules of $\mathcal{D}$-modules which are perhaps not easy to find explicitly in the literature. We work here with right $\mathcal{D}$-modules on possibly singular varieties.

A.1 Maximal indecomposable extensions

Proposition A.1. Let $j^Y : V \to Y$ be an open inclusion of varieties and M a holonomic right $\mathcal{D}_V$-module. Recall that an extension of M to Y means a right $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module N such that $(j^Y)^*M = N$.

(a) There is a universal holonomic extension N of M to Y which fits into an exact sequence $0 \to j^Y_{!*}M \to N \to K \to 0$ for K supported on $Y \setminus V$: for any other such extension N' with exact sequence $0 \to j^Y_{!*}M \to N' \to K' \to 0$, there is a unique map $K' \to K$ such that $N' = N \oplus_K K'$.

(b) We have $N \cong H^0 j_*^Y M$, or equivalently, for all $\mathcal{D}_V$-modules N', $\mathrm{Hom}(N', N) = \mathrm{Hom}((j^Y)^* N', M)$. Moreover, N is indecomposable.

(c) Given any holonomic extension N' of M to Y, then $N' \cong N$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^i(L, N') = 0$ for $i \leq 1$ and every holonomic $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module L supported on $Y \setminus V$.

(d) In the case that $Y \setminus V$ is a point $0 \in Y$, then in (c) it suffices to take $L = \delta$, the delta function $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module of the point 0.

(e) In the situation of (d), the quotient K in (a) is given by $K = \delta \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(\delta, j_{!*}^Y M)$.

Note in the above lemma that $N \oplus_K K'$ is the submodule of $N \oplus K'$ on which the maps to K agree (it is a limit).

Proof of Proposition A.1. The uniqueness in (a) is clear. For existence, we begin by observing that $N = H^0 j_*^Y M$ is an extension of M which admits an exact sequence of the form $0 \to j_{!*}^Y M \to N \to K \to 0$ with K supported on $Y \setminus V$. We claim that the property of (c) is satisfied, i.e., that $\mathrm{Ext}^i(K, N) = 0$ for $i \leq 1$ and all holonomic modules K supported on $Y \setminus V$. First, $\mathrm{Hom}(K, N) = \mathrm{Hom}((j^Y)^* K, M) = 0$ by adjunction. Next, write the exact triangle $H^0 j_*^Y M \to j_*^Y M \to \tau_{\geq 1} j_*^Y M$, with $\tau_{\geq 1}$ the truncation. Now, $\tau_{\geq 1} j_*^Y M$ is a complex of $\mathcal{D}_Y$-modules concentrated in positive degrees. Thus, $\mathrm{Hom}(K', H^0 j_*^Y M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(K', j_*^Y M)$ and and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(K', H^0 j_*^Y M) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(K', j_*^Y M)$ is surjective for every $\mathcal{D}_Y$-module K'; taking K' to be supported on $V \setminus Y$ yields the desired result.

To finish the proof of (a)–(c), it now suffices to show that, if N is any extension of M as in (c), then N satisfies the conditions given in (a), and that it is indecomposable. Suppose N is an extension of M satisfying the property of (c), namely $\mathrm{Ext}^i(K, N) = 0$ for $i \leq 1$ and all holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules K supported on $V \setminus Y$. Taking $i = 0$, we deduce that N has no submodules supported on $V \setminus Y$. Therefore the image of the canonical map $H^0 j_!^Y M \to N$ also has no such submodules, so this image is $j_{!*}^Y M$. We therefore have an exact sequence $0 \to j_{!*}^Y M \to N \to K \to 0$ with K supported on $V \setminus Y$. Again since N has no submodules supported on $V \setminus Y$, this is an indecomposable extension, as desired. Next, the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(K', N) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{D}$-modules K' supported on $V \setminus Y$ shows that N is a maximal such extension. Let now N' be any extension of the form $0 \to j_{!*}^Y M \to N' \to K' \to 0$. Observe that $\mathrm{Hom}(j_{!*}^Y M, N')$ is one-dimensional, since $j_{!*}^Y M$ is simple, N' has a unique submodule isomorphic to $j_{!*}^Y M$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(j_{!*}^Y M, j_{!*}^Y M)$ is one-dimensional. Then applying $\mathrm{Hom}(-, N)$ to $0 \to j_{!*}^Y M \to N' \to K' \to 0$, we get an exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(N', N) \to \mathrm{Hom}(j_{!*}^Y M, N) \to 0$. Thus, $\mathrm{Hom}(N', N)$ is one-dimensional as well. Taking a nonzero map $\phi : N' \to N$, it restricts to an isomorphism on the submodules of $j_{!*}^Y M$, hence induces a morphism $\bar{\phi} : K' \to K$, which is canonical up to scaling. The kernel of ϕ is a submodule of N' supported on $V \setminus Z$, hence a summand of K'. Therefore $N' \to (N \oplus K')$ is injective. It is clear that the image lies in $N \oplus_K K'$. Finally, taking the quotient by the common submodule $j_{!*}^Y M$, we obtain an isomorphism, so the original morphism $N' \to N \oplus_K K'$ is also an isomorphism, as desired.

Part (d) then follows because every $\mathcal{D}$-module supported on a point is a direct sum of copies of the delta-function $\mathcal{D}$-module.

For part (e), note that, if we consider the extension N of the form (a) with $K = \delta \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(\delta, j^Y_{!*}M)$ classified by the canonical element of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(K, j^Y_{!*}M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\delta, j^Y_{!*}M) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(\delta, j^Y_{!*}M)^*$, then the universal property of (a) is satisfied. □

Corollary A.2. If Y is conical and has an isolated singularity at the vertex 0, and M is a local system on $V = Y \setminus \{0\}$, then the cokernel of $j^Y_{!*}M \to H^0 j^Y_* M$ is isomorphic to $\delta \otimes H^{\dim V - 1}(V, M)$. More generally, this holds if Y is not necessarily conical but is a sufficiently small contractible neighborhood of 0.

Proof. As in, e.g., [ES10, Lemma 4.3], for $i : \{0\} \to Y$ the inclusion, $\mathrm{Ext}^*(\delta, j^Y_{!*}M) = \mathrm{Ext}^*(i_*\mathbf{C}, j^Y_{!*}M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*(\mathbf{C}, i^* j^Y_{!*}M) \cong H^{-*} i^* j^Y_{!*} M$. Since Y is conical, it follows as in *op. cit.* that $H^{-*} i^* j^Y_{!*} M \cong H^{\dim V - *} i^* j^Y_* M = H^{\dim V - *}(V, M)$. Now plugging in $* = 1$ yields the desired statement. The final statement holds for the same reason. □

Applying Verdier duality, we deduce verbatim the following corollary:

Corollary A.3. Again let $j^Y : V \to Y$ be an open inclusion of varieties and M a holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module on V.

(a) There is a universal holonomic extension N of M of the form $0 \to K \to N \to j^Y_{!*}M \to 0$ for K supported on $Y \setminus V$: for any other such extension $0 \to K' \to N' \to j^Y_{!*}M \to 0$, there is a unique map $K \to K'$ such that $N' = N \oplus_K K'$.

(b) We have $N \cong H^0 j^Y_! M$, or equivalently, for all $\mathcal{D}$-modules N' on V, $\mathrm{Hom}(N, N') = \mathrm{Hom}(M, (j^Y)^* N')$. Moreover, N is indecomposable.

(c) Given any holonomic extension N' of M to Y, then $N' \cong N$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}^i(N', L) = 0$ for $i \leq 1$ and every holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module L supported on $V \setminus Y$.

(d) In the case that $Y \setminus V$ is a point $0 \in Y$, then in (c) it suffices to take $L = \delta$, the delta function $\mathcal{D}$-module of the point 0.

(e) In the situation of (d), the kernel K in (a) is given by $K = \delta \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(j^Y_{!*}M, \delta)^*$. If Y is conical, V is smooth, and M is a local system on V, then $K \cong \delta^m$ with with $m = \dim H^{\dim V - 1}(V, M)$.

Finally, we deduce:

Corollary A.4. If Y is conical and has an isolated singularity at the vertex 0, and M is a local system on $V = Y \setminus \{0\}$, then the kernel of $H^0 j^Y_! M \to j^Y_{!*}M$ is isomorphic to $\delta \otimes \dim H^{\dim V - 1}(V, M^\vee)^*$. More generally, this holds if Y is not necessarily conical but is a sufficiently small contractible neighborhood of 0.

Proof. By Verdier duality, $\mathrm{Ext}^*(j^Y_{!*}M, \delta)^* = \mathrm{Ext}^*(\delta, j^Y_{!*}M^\vee)^*$, and as in Corollary A.2, the latter is $H^{\dim V - 1}(V, M^\vee)^*$. The rest of the proof is the same as in Corollary A.2. □

A.2 Maximal quotients and submodules

The purpose of this section is to explain the following:

Proposition A.5. Let $i : Z \to X$ be a closed embedding of varieties and M a holonomic right $\mathcal{D}$-module on X. Then the canonical map $\phi : M \to i_* H^0 i^* M = H^0 i_* i^* M$ is the maximal quotient of M supported on Z. That is, this map is surjective, and for any map $f : M \to N$ with N supported on Z, there is a unique map $g : i_* H^0 i^* M \to N$ such that $f = g \circ \phi$.

Proof. Let $f : M \to N$ be any map with N supported on Z. Then $N = i_* i^! N$, and we obtain by adjunction a canonical map $H^0 i^* M \to i^! N$. Applying i_* and precomposing with the canonical map yields the sequence of maps, $M \to i_* H^0 i^* M \to i_* i^! N$. Applying adjunction again yields that the composition is the original map $M \to i_* i^! N = N$. □

Applying Verdier duality, we obtain the following:

Corollary A.6. Under the same assumptions, the canonical map $\psi : i_* H^0 i^! M = H^0 i_* i^! M \to M$ is the maximal submodule of M supported on Z, i.e., any map $f : N \to M$ with N supported on Z factors uniquely as $f = \psi \circ g$, for some $g : N \to i_* H^0 i^! M$.

References

[AMBL05] Josep Alvarez-Montaner, Manuel Blickle, and Gennady Lyubeznik, *Generators of D-modules in positive characteristic*, Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), no. 4, 459–473.

[Beĭ87] A. A. Beĭlinson, *How to glue perverse sheaves*, K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 42–51.

[Dim04] A. Dimca, *Sheaves in topology*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[ES10] P. Etingof and T. Schedler, *Poisson traces and $\mathcal{D}$-modules on Poisson varieties*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 4, 958–987, arXiv:0908.3868, with an appendix by I. Losev.

[ES12] ———, *Coinvariants of Lie algebras of vector fields on algebraic varieties*, arXiv:1211.1883, 2012.

[ES14] ———, *Invariants of Hamiltonian flow on locally complete intersections with isolated singularities*, accepted to Geom. Funct. Anal.; arXiv:1401.5042, 2014.

[Gin86] V. Ginsburg, *Characteristic varieties and vanishing cycles*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 2, 327–402.

[Kas77] Masaki Kashiwara, *B-functions and holonomic systems. Rationality of roots of B-functions*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976/77), no. 1, 33–53.

[MS11] Mircea Mustaţă and Vasudevan Srinivas, *Ordinary varieties and the comparison between multiplier ideals and test ideals*, Nagoya Math. J. **204** (2011), 125–157.

[Sai15] M. Saito, *D-modules generated by rational powers of holomorphic functions*, arXiv:1507.01877, 2015.

[Ste77] J. Steenbrink, *Intersection form for quasi-homogeneous singularities*, Compositio Math. **34** (1977), no. 2, 211–223.

[Yan78] T. Yano, *On the theory of b-functions*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **14** (1978), no. 1, 111–202.